

Message

From: Ferrell, Mark [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=8CCAF8C895C8426E9B5C274D26B7F833-FERRELL, MARK]
Sent: 6/8/2018 12:20:27 PM
To: Teri.N.Harlan@wv.gov
Subject: Farrish

Terri, here are some of my probs with Jessica Farrish's story:

- No rebuttal quote to challenge Amjads claim we are operating with "poor data."
- Left "they don't put where you lived most of your life" when actually the state epidemiologist has explained in great detail how the cancer registry tracks patients from hospital to hospital, and in fact the entire county of Fayette has been included in their sampling data. Again, Amjad is allowed to assert that she has better cancer data on the community than the state, even though she has denied requests of her to share her data.
- claims that our sampling scheduled for week of June 25 will only be conducted at a single "select site."
- allowed Amjad to question why EPA was even having the meeting, even though its purpose was stated clearly in media advisory, press release, my opening remarks and the RA's opening remarks
- again allowed a community member to clam 552 deaths from cancer in Minden without providing any info about how that number was arrived at, methodology, who conducted the survey, or why they haven't shared that data with state or EPA.
- said EPA has conducted 2 cleanups, both failures. Even though original samples in the area were in 6 figure numbers ppm. There plenty of verified scientific evidence that EPA remedial actions have been susscesful, Karen said so, but no rebuttal quote.
- claim that "sampling is a waste of money" went unchallenged, even though Karen responded
- challenged our sampling methods saying we sample too shallow, even though Karen rebutted

Sent from my iPhone